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I. Call to Order

Chairperson Klausman called the meeting to order at 4:00 p.m. in the Kansas Room of the Memorial Union on the Washburn University campus.

II. Roll Call

Present were: Mr. Bunten, Mr. Klausman, Mr. Lykins, Mrs. Parks, Mr. Sneed, Mrs. Sourk, and Mrs. Trusdale.

III. Approval of Minutes of the September 27, 2012 meeting

It was moved and seconded to approve the Minutes of the September 27, 2012 meeting. Motion passed.

IV. Officer Reports

A. Chair’s Report

Chairperson Klausman reported the Executive staff, the Major Academic Deans and several Board members enjoyed a presentation November 1st for the Visioning 2022 project. He said the presentation by Mr. James Chung of Reach Advisors was informative and impressive and that this was the first of several opportunities to look at issues affecting higher education in the next decade and how Washburn will choose to evolve in several key areas.

B. President’s Report

President Farley stated the Visioning 2022 process began on November 1, 2012 with a session with Mr. James Chung, the President and CEO of Reach Advisors, a strategy, research and predictive analytics firm. He noted there was broad participation across campus including 42 individuals representing Washburn, including Regents, Faculty, Staff, Students, Alumni and community partners, who will work for the rest of this academic year to create the Vision 2022 ten year plan. He said most of task forces created during the session should be ready to present proposals by February and the process should be complete by April, 2013.

Dr. Farley reported on the 2012 homecoming festivities and specifically noted the homecoming parade on October 27th went very well and that Washburn Institute of Technology students built the most impressive float for the parade.
President Farley said October 26th Washburn celebrated ten years of women’s soccer.

Dr. Farley announced Ingersoll Rand Foundation donated $10,000 to the Washburn Institute of Technology.

President Farley said Regent Parks gave a presentation October 24th at the Brown Bag lunch in the International house on her Rotary Club trip to Thailand.

He also reported the sixth annual Women in Science Day was held on campus October 9th in which middle school-aged students interested in the sciences were able to visit the campus for a day of hands-on learning.

Dr. Farley stated that on November 17th at 7:00 p.m. the Art en Plein Aire auction will be held at the Memorial Union, which will include work from 37 local artists. He reminded all Board members they are encouraged to attend what is expected to be a very nice event leading up to the Sesquicentennial.

C. Committee Report(s)

1. Audit Committee
   a. Audit Report Approval

   Regent Sourk reported an Audit Committee meeting was held at 3:00 p.m., immediately preceding the Board meeting, and said the auditors, RubinBrown gave a presentation of the audit report. She asked for a motion to accept the audit report. A motion was made and seconded to accept the audit report. Motion passed.

2. Budget/Finance Committee
   a. Investment Grade Energy Audit

   Regent Sneed reported the Budget and Finance Committee met on October 26th, 2012 and considered a comprehensive Investment Grade Energy Audit with a team from Trane, who gave a detailed presentation. He said the plan included three cash flow pro formas; and that it should not exceed a 15-year bond payback. Regent Sneed said the Budget and Finance Committee recommends approval of the proposed energy audit. It was moved and seconded to approve the Investment Grade Energy Audit. Motion passed.

   It was noted the project will allow students from Washburn Institute of Technology to be involved in job shadowing and other related opportunities for experience in the project.
D. Treasurer’s Report

1. Liquidated Claims Approval – September 2012

It was moved and seconded to accept the Treasurer’s Report. Motion passed.

V. New Business

It was moved and seconded to approve the Consent Agenda.

As approved by action of the Board:

A. Consent Agenda

1. Faculty/Staff Personnel Actions, Washburn University
   Hire Tiffany McManis as Student Health Nurse Practitioner, at an annual salary of $72,800; hire Jeannette Luedke as Ticket/Business Manager, Athletics, at an increased annual salary of $40,000; recruit open position Institutional Research Data Analyst from half to full time at an annual salary of $43,148; equity adjustment in annual salary for Barbara Quaney, Bachelor of Health Science Program Coordinator/Assistant Professor increased to $70,313 retroactive to July 1, 2012;

2. Designate Harold Rood as Professor Emeritus
   Bestow the title of Professor Emeritus to Dr. Harold Rood;

3. 2012 Winter Closing Schedule
   Administrative closing of the University on Monday, December 24, 2012; and,

   Revisions to Subject A. General Topics and Subject E. Benefits of the Washburn University Policies, Regulations and Procedures Manual as outlined in the agenda item.

B. Action Items

1. Remodel of Piano Labs
   Vice President for Administration and Treasurer, Rick Anderson, stated this item was being removed from the agenda.

1. LL.M. in Global Legal Studies
   Vice President for Academic Affairs, Dr. Randy Pembrook, presented the item and stated the proposed degree program had been through all faculty and administrative processes, and further stated the program is primarily aimed at educating foreign lawyers in the United States legal system. Dean of the School of Law, Thomas Romig, was available for questions. It was moved and seconded to approve. Motion passed.
C. Information Item(s)

1. Enrollment Management Update

Executive Director of Enrollment Management, Mr. Richard Liedtke, gave a PowerPoint presentation on the current state of enrollment at Washburn. Mrs. Trusdale asked whether there is projected to be a similar drop in high school graduates across the country, and Mr. Liedtke responded that it depends on the state.

2. School of Law

Thomas Romig, Dean of the School of Law, gave a PowerPoint presentation on the law school. He noted Washburn School of Law is ranked 20th out of 200 for government jobs in National Jurist which he noted is a testament to the Center for Law and Government; top 20 for jobs as prosecutors & public defenders in National Jurist which he attributed to the success of the Center for Advocacy; and named a Best Value Law school in preLaw magazine.

Regent Sourk departed at 5:11 p.m.

3. School of Business

Dr. David Sollars, Dean of the School of Business, gave a presentation on the School of Business. He stated there are 621 students currently declared as Bachelor of Business Administration (BBA) major. He discussed the increased international emphasis and stated that in 2010 a new course requirement for the BBA was added to include completion of a Global Dynamics course. He stated that in 2011 the Global Dynamics course was an added requirement for the Masters of Business Administration program.

Dr. Sollars announced the School will be back to the Board in December to request approval of a new Masters of Accountancy program and said he hopes to add a BBA in International Business as a concentration in the near future.

Meeting adjourned at 5:36 p.m.

/s/
Lisa R. Jones
Secretary, Board of Regents
Agenda Item No. IV. D. 1.
Washburn University Board of Regents

SUBJECT: Liquidated Claims Approval – October 2012

DESCRIPTION: Attached is the list of claims processed for the month of October, 2012 by fund, and a summary of all claims by fund is detailed below. The payroll claims will be presented to the Board of Regents for review at the December 7, 2012 meeting through the Chairperson.

To the best of my information and belief, I certify that the liquidated claims submitted in this transmittal are in compliance with all applicable laws and University policies.

Rick Anderson, Vice President for Administration & Treasurer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WASHBURN UNIVERSITY</th>
<th>Fund Name</th>
<th>Total Claims</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. General Fund</td>
<td>$3,032,253</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Debt Retirement &amp; Construction Fund</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Building and Construction Fund</td>
<td>211,737</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Endowment Fund</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Student Loan Fund</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Tort Claim Fund</td>
<td>81,725</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Restricted and Agency Fund</td>
<td>295,888</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Plant Fund</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Smoothing Fund</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Capital Improvement</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Government and Research Fund</td>
<td>320,718</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Sub-Total | 3,942,821 |
| Payroll | 2,746,032 |
| Payroll Withholding ACH Transactions | 2,159,048 |
| *Wire Transfers (Investments) | -0- |
| Total | $8,847,901 |

WASHBURN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

| 1. General Fund | $199,002 |
| 3. Building and Construction Fund | 73,913 |
| 5. Student Loan Fund | -0- |
| 8. Restricted and Agency Fund | 1,649 |
| 13. Government and Research Fund | 1,666,702 |

| Sub-Total | 1,941,266 |
| Payroll | 259,037 |
| Payroll Withholding ACH Transactions | 129,865 |
| Total | $2,330,168 |

Date ____________________________  Jerry B. Farley, President
SUBJECT: Faculty/Staff Personnel Actions

DESCRIPTION:

The following routine adjustments to specific salary lines must either be reported to the Board or approved by the Board.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Financial Implications</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tracy Routsong</td>
<td>Chair/Associate Professor of Communication, POSN 000047</td>
<td>Unpaid Leave of Absence for Spring 2013</td>
<td>Salary savings will cover cost of Interim Chair and necessary adjunct faculty.</td>
<td>Visiting Professor at Winona State University</td>
<td>Request Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathleen Menzie</td>
<td>Chair/Associate Professor of Mass Media, POSN 000053</td>
<td>Additional duties as Interim Chair of Communication 10 to 12 month</td>
<td>New annual rate of $73,152 beginning 1/1/13; additional costs paid from POSN 000047</td>
<td>Assuming new administrative responsibilities</td>
<td>Request Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbara Scofield</td>
<td>Professor of Accounting, POSN New</td>
<td>New hire for Master of Accountancy (MAcc) Program beginning Fall 2013; contingent upon MAcc Program approval</td>
<td>New position – salary of $135,000 paid by MAcc Program</td>
<td>Request hire with tenure; Professor of Accounting and Chair of Graduate Business Studies at the University of Texas of the Permian Basin since 2009.</td>
<td>Request Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nora Derrington</td>
<td>Lecturer of English, POSN 000952</td>
<td>Hire for Spring 2013 only</td>
<td>$17,000 salary in POSN 000952</td>
<td>Taking LOA Spring 2013 from Registrar Degree Auditor, POSN 000538</td>
<td>Information Only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECOMMENDATION:

President Farley recommends approval of these personnel actions.
SUBJECT: Eminentes Universitatis

DESCRIPTION: Washburn University awards the “Eminentes Universitatis” designation to long-term employees who meet the following requirements: employed as an administrative or classified employee for a period of ten years or more; retire in good standing; and service performed must be judged to have been meritorious. Three employees have retired this year and have been nominated for the award. They are Lynn Bailey, Connie Foltz, and Sandra Kidd.

Lynn Bailey
- Mr. Bailey will retire in December from the Mathematics and Statistics Department. He has served the Department as Secretary II since June 2005. He previously worked in the Purchasing Department and in the College of Arts and Sciences Office. He was a member of Staff Council and served as Chair for two years. In his nomination Dr. Kevin Charlwood said, “Lynn will be greatly missed by all. His work as a valued secretary to the Math Department enabled the faculty to spend more time on their professional activities, and we all knew we could rely on Lynn to keep the office running smoothly year-round.”

Connie Foltz
- Ms. Foltz retired from the School of Business in June, 2012 after seventeen years at Washburn. Her first two years were spent in the Continuing Education office and the remainder at the School of Business. As Associate Dean Smith’s primary secretary, her duties included scheduling student advising appointments, working with Banner for schedule entry, maintaining the SOBU student database, and providing data for graduation checks and signoffs. She was also responsible for all book orders and adjunct contracts. Beginning in 2006 she worked with Dr. Tom Clevenger to establish the Washburn Chapter of Beta Gamma Sigma International Business Honor Society and served as the BGS support person since that time. In his nomination, Dean David Sollars said, “Connie was always a positive force in the School, juggling student, faculty, and dean’s office tasks and demands with great care, and always with a smile.”

Sandra Kidd
- Ms. Kidd retired from Academic Advising in June 2012. She has served the University and hundreds of its students over her 28 year tenure at Washburn. In her nomination, Pamela Erickson, Director of Academic Advising, said, “Sandra was a caring and skilled employee and Academic Advisor.” Dr. Alan Bearman, Dean of University Libraries, added “She genuinely put the interests of students first and sought to ensure that students connected to Washburn in a positive manner so that they experienced life in the Washburn community of learning to its fullest.”

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS: None

RECOMMENDATION: President Farley recommends the Board of Regents approve awarding the designation “Eminentes Universitatis” to Lynn Bailey, Connie Foltz, and Sandra Kidd.

Date  Jerry B. Farley, President
Agenda Item No. V. A. 2.
Washburn University Board of Regents

SUBJECT: Renewal and Extension of Topeka Neighborhood Revitalization Plan

DESCRIPTION:

The Topeka City Council adopted a Neighborhood Revitalization Plan (NRP) effective January 1, 2013 for five years through December 31, 2017, and is requesting all other taxing entities be presented the plan for adoption. The attached draft of the 2013 Neighborhood Revitalization Plan adopted by the City of Topeka was approved by the Topeka City Council on October 23, 2012 to take effect January 1, 2013. Both the City of Topeka and Shawnee County have approved of the 2013-2017 NRP. This NRP encourages the revitalization of designated inner urban areas within the city by means of property tax rebate incentives, as the previous reauthorization which will expire December 31, 2012.

The area designated for the next five years includes the areas under the previous renewal and extension of the authorization (generally the central Topeka core) including areas immediately adjacent to the campus on the east and northeast. Some of those areas are specifically labeled “Intensive Care” meaning, “areas with seriously distressed conditions that need immediate attention and intervention.”

As the Washburn University campus is adjacent to the west boundary of the primary target area, Washburn can expect to enjoy the direct benefit of an improved neighborhood.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Reauthorization of the Neighborhood Revitalization Plan allows for property tax incentives (rebates) on improvements to qualifying properties of up to 95% for five years (10 years if they meet historic landmarks criteria). The city and county would split the remaining 5% to administer the plan. In essence, by adopting this plan, Washburn is agreeing to forego indeterminate increases on improvements to some properties for five years (10 years if they meet historic landmarks criteria). From a practical standpoint, this has little financial impact on the University as those taxes are not being collected now. This is a modification of a plan previously approved by the Washburn University Board of Regents February 25, 2010.

RECOMMENDATION:

As a positive effort to improve neighborhoods near the campus and elsewhere in the city, President Farley recommends remaining a signatory of the interlocal agreement reauthorizing and extending the Neighborhood Revitalization Plan.

__________________  _____________________________
Date    Jerry B. Farley, President
RE: NEIGHBORHOOD REVITALIZATION PLAN

The Neighborhood Revitalization Plan (NRP) is set to expire at the end of 2012 and is being proposed for renewal through 2017. The NRP was originally adopted in 1995 by the City of Topeka to establish a program that offered tax rebates to owners who make improvements to their properties within certain areas of the city.

The purpose of the program has been to encourage re-investment in the city’s older and more distressed neighborhoods which also includes the Downtown. The basic concept is that 95% of any additional property taxes created by new improvements would be rebated back to the owner over a 10-15 year period.

While the plan and program have had variations throughout the years (see “Comparison Chart), it has been continuously administered by the City since 1995 resulting in almost $280 million of new investment. With participating taxing entities rebating $31 million over that time, the program has leveraged $9 of private investment for every public dollar rebated.

Since 2003, the eligible area for rebates was reduced to better mirror the “at risk” and “intensive care” neighborhoods of the city as determined by the health map of the Comprehensive Plan’s Neighborhood Element. These areas constitute the highest priorities for re-investment.

The main changes of the proposed plan for 2013-2017 are:

- revise the eligible area to reflect “at risk” and “intensive care” areas in the newly adopted 2011 Neighborhood Element;
- decrease the rebate percentage to 50% in years 6-10 of the rebate period consistent with most other cities (years 1-5 would remain 95%);
- maintain a full 95% rebate over 10 years for historic properties and “intensive care” neighborhoods;
- allow use of the special fund created in 2006-2008 with the City’s in-fill housing projects and help comply with adopted neighborhood design guidelines.

The City of Topeka governing body approved the attached Plan 10-0-0 on October 23, 2012 and is requesting all other taxing entities be presented the Plan for adoption.

Shawnee County Commission approved the Plan 3-0-0 on November 1, 2012.
Neighborhood Revitalization Plan (DRAFT)

City of Topeka, Kansas
effective January 1, 2013

Adopted by City of Topeka Governing Body: October 23, 2012
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Purpose

This Plan is intended to promote the revitalization of the inner urban area hereinafter described as the "Neighborhood Revitalization Area" of the City of Topeka through the rehabilitation, conservation and redevelopment of the area in order to protect the public health, safety welfare of the residents of the City. More specifically, in accordance with KSA 12-17, 118 (d), a tax rebate incentive will be available to property owners for certain improvements that raise the appraised value of residential property 10% and commercial property 20%.

In accordance KSA (2000 Supp.) 12-17, 114 et. seq., the City Council has held a public hearing and considered the existing conditions and alternatives with respect to the described area, the criteria and standards for a tax rebate and the necessity for interlocal cooperation among the other taxing units. Accordingly, the Council has reviewed, evaluated, and found that the described area meets one or more of the conditions contained in KSA (2000 Supp) 12-17,115 (c).
PART 1
DESCRIPTION OF NEIGHBORHOOD REVITALIZATION AREA

The Neighborhood Revitalization Area (Map #1) follows the boundaries of Intensive Care and At Risk neighborhoods as identified in the Neighborhood Element of the Comprehensive Plan. This element, originally approved in 2000 and updated in 2011, establishes four (4) health classifications for neighborhoods (intensive care, at risk, out patient, and healthy) to determine priorities for re-investment and planning assistance. They are described below in order of priority:

- **Intensive Care** - areas with seriously distressed conditions that need immediate attention and intervention
- **At Risk** - areas with emerging/existing negative conditions that need attention before they succumb to seriously distressed conditions
- **Out Patient** - areas with favorable conditions in need of minor isolated treatments
- **Healthy** - areas with optimal conditions

The four health classifications were based on five (5) vital signs that measured the relative health of all neighborhood areas in Topeka. This neighborhood health assessment was used to develop a “triage” approach to revitalization. Those areas that had more “life-threatening” or urgent needs as measured by the vital signs should receive higher priority for treatment over those areas with less urgent needs. These higher priority areas (intensive care/at risk) are the focus for neighborhood planning efforts and public re-investment. A summary of the vital signs include:

**Poverty (2006-2010 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, U.S Census)** - High concentrations of poverty are one of the most reliable indicators of performance in school, crime rates, family fragmentation, job readiness, housing conditions, etc.
Public Safety (January 2009 – December 2010, Topeka Police Dept.) – Public Safety, as measured by number of Part 1 crimes reported for the last two full years, is a symptom indicating the local environmental conditions conducive to crime and how well a neighborhood is organized to prevent crime from occurring.

Residential Property Values (June 2011, Shawnee County Appraisers Office) – Property values are in part a reflection of the quality of housing supply and the image of a neighborhood. The median value of a house purchased in Shawnee County was $110,000 in 2010 (Topeka Association of Realtors).

Single Family Housing Tenure (June 2011 Shawnee County Appraisers Office) The percentage of homeowners residing in a neighborhood can be an indication of the willingness (or confidence) to invest in the area. The most relevant measure of this is how many single-family dwellings are owner-occupied since these homes were built for individual ownership.

Boarded Houses & Unsafe Structures (2010 City of Topeka Code Enforcement Unit) - A boarded-up house is one of the most evident physical displays that will undermine confidence in an area for investment and precipitates a downward spiral for the block and/or neighborhood.

Vital Sign Ranges (2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neighborhood Health Composite (avg. score)</th>
<th>Persons Per Part 1 Crime Reported</th>
<th>% of Persons Below Poverty Level (score)</th>
<th>% Owner Occupied Housing Units (score)</th>
<th>Boarded Houses &amp; Unsafe Structures (score)</th>
<th>Average Residential Property Levels (score)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy (3.3 – 4.0)</td>
<td>9 or More (4)</td>
<td>0 - 9% (4)</td>
<td>70 - 100% (4)</td>
<td>None (4)</td>
<td>$103,001 and Above (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-Patient (2.7 – 3.2)</td>
<td>6 – 8 (3)</td>
<td>10 - 18% (3)</td>
<td>50 - 69% (3)</td>
<td>1-2 (3)</td>
<td>$67,501 - $103,000 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At-Risk (1.9-2.5)</td>
<td>4 – 5 (2)</td>
<td>19 - 30% (2)</td>
<td>34 - 49% (2)</td>
<td>3-5 (2)</td>
<td>$40,001 - $67,500 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive Care (1.0 – 1.6)</td>
<td>1 – 2 (1)</td>
<td>21 – 100% (1)</td>
<td>0 - 33% (1)</td>
<td>6 + (1)</td>
<td>$40,000 and Below (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Area Profile

Health rankings are determined by averaging all vital sign levels for each neighborhood area. Intensive care and at risk neighborhoods have the lowest vital sign measurements, and hence are the primary focus of the Neighborhood Revitalization (NR) Area. Below is a comparsion profile of the NR Area and non-NR Area:
### Total Primary Neighborhood Revitalization Area (2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neighborhood Health Rating</th>
<th>Persons Per Part 1 Crime Reported</th>
<th>% of Persons Below Poverty Level*</th>
<th>% Owner Occupied Single-Family Housing Units</th>
<th>Boarded Houses &amp; Unsafe Structures</th>
<th>Average Residential Property Levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intensive Care</td>
<td>4 Persons</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>$28,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Risk</td>
<td>5 Persons</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>$54,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out Patient</td>
<td>7 Persons</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$56,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Primary NR Area</strong></td>
<td><strong>5 Persons</strong></td>
<td><strong>33%</strong></td>
<td><strong>50%</strong></td>
<td><strong>74</strong></td>
<td><strong>$48,955</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Represents the average poverty level status of selected Census Block Groups.

### Total Non-Neighborhood Revitalization Area (2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neighborhood Health Rating</th>
<th>Persons Per Part 1 Crime Reported</th>
<th>% of Persons Below Poverty Level*</th>
<th>% Owner Occupied Single-Family Housing Units</th>
<th>Boarded Houses &amp; Unsafe Structures</th>
<th>Average Residential Property Levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Out Patient</td>
<td>6 Persons</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$71,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>13 Persons</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$141,830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Non-NR Area</strong></td>
<td><strong>12 Persons</strong></td>
<td><strong>13%</strong></td>
<td><strong>79%</strong></td>
<td><strong>17</strong></td>
<td><strong>$133,740</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Represents the average poverty level status of the selected Census Block Groups.

### General Characteristics (2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Square Miles</th>
<th>% Total</th>
<th># of Parcels</th>
<th>% Total Vacant Parcels*</th>
<th>% Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intensive Care (Primary)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>3,228</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Risk (Primary)</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>8,038</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out Patient (Primary)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>2,113</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanza/USD 501 District</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>.7%</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>.03%</td>
<td>.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total NR Area</strong></td>
<td><strong>11.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>14.4%</strong></td>
<td><strong>13,392</strong></td>
<td><strong>26.4%</strong></td>
<td><strong>44%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-NR Area</td>
<td><strong>45.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>85.6%</strong></td>
<td><strong>37,275</strong></td>
<td><strong>73.6%</strong></td>
<td><strong>55.6%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total (All Topeka)</strong></td>
<td><strong>56.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>50,709</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excludes parcels for public purposes (e.g., parks)
General Characteristics (2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Estimated Population (2010 Census)</th>
<th>Total Housing Units (2006-2010 ACS)</th>
<th>Real Property Valuation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intensive Care (Primary)</td>
<td>6,335</td>
<td>2,537</td>
<td>$266,848,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Risk (Primary)</td>
<td>20,396</td>
<td>14,193</td>
<td>$1,160,436,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out Patient (Primary)</td>
<td>5,141</td>
<td>2,407</td>
<td>$161,385,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total NR Area</strong></td>
<td>31,872</td>
<td>19,137</td>
<td><strong>$1,588,670,240</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-NR Area*</td>
<td>95,598</td>
<td>45,283</td>
<td><strong>$5,918,331,430</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total (All Topeka)</strong></td>
<td>127,470</td>
<td>64,420</td>
<td><strong>$7,507,001,670</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes the non-primary Kanza/USD 501 property in this table only.

**Summary**

- The primary NR Area comprises only 14% of the land area of Topeka, but contains 44% of all vacant parcels in the City.
- The poverty rate in the primary NR Area is two and a half times the poverty rate of the non-NR Area.
- Average residential property values are nearly three times greater outside of the NR Area than within its boundaries.
- The homeownership rate is substantially greater outside of the primary NR Area boundary (79% versus 50%).
- 81% of all boarded houses and unsafe structures in the City are located within the NR Area boundary.
Legal Description of
Neighborhood Revitalization Area

PRIMARY AREA
Beginning at the intersection of the Centerline of US Highway 24 with the Centerline of NW Clay Street; thence easterly, on the Centerline of US Highway 24, to an intersection with the Centerline of NW Topeka Boulevard; thence southerly, on the Centerline of NW Topeka Boulevard, to an intersection with the Centerline of NW Independence Avenue; thence easterly on the Centerline of NW Independence Avenue, to the Centerline of N Kansas Avenue; thence southerly on the Centerline of N Kansas Avenue to an intersection with the Centerline of NE Independence Avenue east of Kansas Avenue; thence easterly on the Centerline of NE Independence Avenue to an intersection with the Centerline of NE Monroe Street; thence northerly on the Centerline of NE Monroe Street to an intersection with the Centerline of NE Lyman Road; thence easterly on the Centerline of NE Lyman Road to the Northeast corner of Drakes Farm Subdivision; thence southerly on the East line of Drakes Farm Subdivision and its extension to the Centerline of NE Independence Avenue; thence easterly on the Centerline of Independence Avenue to an intersection with the Centerline of NE Meriden Road; thence southerly on the Centerline of NE Meriden Road and its extension to an intersection with the Centerline of the Mainline Track of the Union Pacific Railroad; thence southerly, on the centerline of said mainline track, to an intersection with the Centerline of the West Approach to the Sardou Bridge over the Kansas River; thence east-southeasterly on the centerline of said approach and the Centerline of the Sardou Bridge to an intersection with the Centerline of the Kansas River; thence southwesterly up the Centerline of the Kansas River to an intersection with an extension of the Centerline of NE Chandler Street; thence southerly on the Centerline of NE Chandler Street to an intersection with the Centerline of NE Seward Avenue; thence westerly on the Centerline of NE Seward Avenue to an intersection with the Centerline of NE Branner Street; thence south-southwesterly on the Centerline of Branner Street to an intersection with the Centerline of the Mainline Track of the Burlington Northern – Santa Fe Railway; thence easterly on the centerline of said mainline track to an intersection with the East line of the Northeast Quarter of Section 33, Township 11 South, Range 16 east of the 6th P.M.; thence southerly to the Southeast corner of said Northeast Quarter; thence easterly on the North line of the Southwest Quarter of Section 34, Township 11 South, Range 16 east of the 6th P.M. to an intersection with the Centerline of Deer Creek; thence southwesterly and southerly up the Centerline of Deer Creek to an intersection with the Centerline of SE 6th Avenue; thence westerly on the Centerline of SE 6th Avenue to an intersection with the Centerline of SE Deer Creek Parkway; thence southerly, on the Centerline of SE Deer Creek Parkway to an intersection with the Centerline of Interstate Highway 70; thence westerly on the Centerline of Interstate Highway 70 to an intersection with the Centerline of SE California Avenue; thence southerly on the Centerline of SE California Avenue to an intersection with the Centerline of SE 29th Street; thence westerly on the Centerline of SE 29th Street to an intersection with the Centerline of SE Adams Street; thence southerly on the Centerline of SE Adams Street to an intersection with the Centerline of SE 37th Street; thence westerly on the Centerline of SE 37th Street to an intersection with the Centerline of SW Topeka Boulevard; thence northerly on the Centerline of SW Topeka Boulevard to an intersection with the Centerline of SW 29th Street; thence easterly on the Centerline of SW 29th Street to an intersection with the Centerline of SW Washburn Avenue; thence northerly on the Centerline of SW Washburn Avenue to an intersection with the Centerline of SW Horne Street; thence northerly on the Centerline of SW Horne Street to an intersection with the Centerline of SW Eleventh Street; thence westerly on the Centerline of SW Eleventh Street to the Centerline of SW Woodward Avenue; thence northerly on the Centerline of SW Woodward Avenue to an intersection with the Centerline of SW Tenth Avenue; thence easterly on the Centerline of SW Tenth Avenue to an intersection with the Centerline of SW Lindenwood Avenue; thence northerly and north-northeasterly on the Centerline of SW Lindenwood Avenue, to an intersection with the Centerline of SW Sixth Avenue; thence easterly and east-southeasterly on the Centerline of SW Sixth Avenue to an intersection with the Centerline of SW Washburn Avenue; thence north-northeasterly on the Centerline of SW Washburn Avenue to an intersection with the Centerline of SW Willow Avenue; thence easterly, on the Centerline of SW Willow Avenue to 2013 Neighborhood Revitalization Plan (draft)
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an intersection with the Centerline of Quinton Avenue; thence northerly on the Centerline of Quinton Avenue to an intersection with the Centerline of Interstate Highway 70; thence east-southeasterly and southeasterly on the Centerline of Interstate Highway 70 to an intersection with the Centerline of SW Topeka Boulevard; thence north-northeasterly on the Centerline of Topeka Boulevard to an intersection with the Centerline of NW Norris Street; thence west-northwesterly on the Centerline of NW Norris Street to an intersection with the Centerline of NW Lane Street; thence north-northeasterly on the Centerline of NW Lane Street to an intersection with the Centerline of NW Gordon Street; thence east-southeasterly on the Centerline of NW Gordon Street to an intersection with the Centerline of NW Grant Street; thence easterly, on the Centerline of NW Grant Street to an intersection with the Centerline of NW Western Avenue; thence northerly on the Centerline of NW Western Avenue to an intersection with the Centerline of NW St. John Street; thence easterly on the Centerline of NW St. John Street to an intersection with the Centerline of NW Taylor Street; thence northerly on the Centerline of NW Taylor Street to an intersection with the Centerline of NW Lyman Road; thence westerly on the Centerline of NW Lyman Road to an intersection with the Centerline of NW St. John Street; thence northerly on the Centerline of NW Norris Street to an intersection with the Centerline of NW Buchanan Street; thence easterly, on the Centerline of NW Buchanan Street to an intersection with the Centerline of NW Clay Street to the point of beginning.

(AND IN ADDITION)

**DRAKES FARM SUBDIVISION AREA**

Drakes Farm Subdivision. Contains approximately 21.01 acres.

**KANZA BUSINESS AND TECHNOLOGY PARK (OVERALL PUD BOUNDARY)**

A TRACT OF LAND IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER, THE EAST HALF OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER, LOT 3 OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER, AND THE NORTHEAST QUARTER, ALL IN SECTION 26, TOWNSHIP 11 SOUTH, RANGE 15 EAST OF THE SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SHAWNEE COUNTY, KANSAS, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS: COMMENCING AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE ON AN ASSUMED BEARING OF NORTH 00°00'22" EAST, 30.00 FEET, ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 23.50 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 2611.74 FEET TO A POINT ON THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER, 30.00 FEET NORTH OF THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE NORTH 00°06'23" WEST, 1118.90 FEET ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 86°16'38" EAST, 130.48 FEET; THENCE NORTH 42°55'39" EAST, 233.28 FEET; THENCE NORTH 01°25'17" WEST, 497.95 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 88°46'41" WEST, 278.01 FEET TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 00°06'23" EAST, 120.24 FEET ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER TO THE NORTH LINE OF ARLINGTON HEIGHTS SUBDIVISION; THENCE SOUTH 89°54'32" WEST, 1309.28 FEET ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID SUBDIVISION TO THE WEST LINE OF THE EAST HALF OF SAID SOUTHWEST QUARTER; THENCE NORTH 00°11'27" EAST, 953.94 FEET ALONG THE WEST LINE OF THE EAST HALF OF SAID SOUTHWEST QUARTER; THENCE NORTH 00°18'11" EAST, 663.92 FEET ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 3 TO THE SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF INTERSTATE HIGHWAY NO. 70; THENCE NORTH 88°53'30" EAST, 883.63 FEET ALONG SAID RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE; THENCE ON A CURVE TO THE LEFT, ALONG SAID RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, A RADIUS OF 1579.22 FEET, AN ARC DISTANCE OF 683.95 FEET, WITH A CHORD WHICH BEARS NORTH 76°29'04" EAST, 678.62 FEET; THENCE NORTH 64°04'38" EAST, 1046.28 FEET, ALONG SAID RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE; THENCE SOUTH 00°08'08" WEST, 213.32 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 78°48'28" EAST, 1483.53 FEET TO THE EAST LINE OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SAID SECTION; THENCE SOUTH 00°08'53" EAST, 87.25 FEET ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID NORTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 16°33'51" WEST, 156.49 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 00°08'53" EAST, 539.57 FEET TO A POINT ON THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID NORTHEAST QUARTER, 45.00 FEET WEST OF THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID NORTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 00°00'22" WEST, 35.43 FEET;
THENCE SOUTH 89°59'38" EAST, 21.50 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 00°00'22" WEST, 2570.01 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING. THE ABOVE DESCRIBED TRACT CONTAINS 258.943 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.

A TRACT OF LAND SITUATED IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 26, TOWNSHIP 11 SOUTH, RANGE 15 EAST OF THE SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SHAWNEE COUNTY KANSAS, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: COMMENCING AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 26; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID QUARTER ON AN ASSUMED BEARING OF SOUTH 89°35'38" WEST, A DISTANCE OF 825.36 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF NORTH 00°24'22" WEST, A DISTANCE OF 40.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE PARALLEL WITH THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID QUARTER ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 89°35'38" WEST, A DISTANCE OF 515.96 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING NORTH 00°25'19" WEST, A DISTANCE OF 2033.61 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF NORTH 44°27'37" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 68.73 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 63°56'06" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 18.56 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF NORTH 19°56'13" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 44.30 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF NORTH 34°34'08" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 25.22 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF NORTH 72°13'53" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 27.65 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 37°05'32" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 14.75 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 54°25'19" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 16.30 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 80°46'21" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 23.15 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 87°13'11" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 11.70 FEET TO A POINT ON A CURVE TO THE RIGHT; THENCE ALONG SAID CURVE TO THE RIGHT (SAID CURVE HAVING A RADIUS OF 75.00 FEET, A CHORD BEARING OF SOUTH 74°03'00" EAST, AND A CHORD DISTANCE OF 34.18 FEET) A DISTANCE OF 34.48 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 60°52'49" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 42.96 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 53°28'55" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 108.90 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 31°54'18" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 87.45 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 26°44'08" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 158.72 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 28°30'48" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 137.12 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 35°51'47" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 63.86 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 44°53'50" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 71.01 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 00°10'26" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 305.26 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 41°48'53" WEST, A DISTANCE OF 216.94 FEET TO A POINT ON A CURVE TO THE LEFT; THENCE ALONG SAID CURVE TO THE LEFT (SAID CURVE HAVING A RADIUS OF 75.00 FEET, A CHORD BEARING OF SOUTH 19°54'50" EAST, AND A CHORD DISTANCE OF 97.23 FEET) A DISTANCE OF 105.78 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 00°01'58" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 78.63 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 34°02'36" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 118.96 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 00°17'22" WEST, A DISTANCE OF 227.41 FEET TO A POINT ON A CURVE TO THE RIGHT; THENCE ALONG SAID CURVE TO THE RIGHT (SAID CURVE HAVING A RADIUS OF 60.00 FEET, A CHORD BEARING OF SOUTH 45°46'21" WEST, AND A CHORD DISTANCE OF 77.15 FEET) A DISTANCE OF 83.79 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 00°06'58" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 142.97 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 86°22'32" WEST, A DISTANCE OF 30.69 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 01°46'13" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 80.18 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 89°57'22" WEST, A DISTANCE OF 20.82 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 00°15'55" WEST, A DISTANCE OF 130.86 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 89°40'17" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 21.15 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 00°20'17" WEST, A DISTANCE OF 28.30 FEET TO A POINT ON A CURVE TO THE LEFT; THENCE ALONG SAID CURVE TO THE LEFT (SAID CURVE HAVING A RADIUS OF 118.49 FEET, A CHORD BEARING OF SOUTH 21°22'45" EAST, A CHORD DISTANCE OF 60.15 FEET) A DISTANCE OF 60.82 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 32°29'33" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 24.43 FEET TO A POINT ON A CURVE TO THE RIGHT; THENCE ALONG SAID CURVE TO THE RIGHT (SAID CURVE HAVING A RADIUS OF 194.67 FEET, A CHORD BEARING OF SOUTH 12°51'45" EAST, AND A CHORD DISTANCE OF 80.56 FEET) A DISTANCE OF 81.15 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 01°52'31" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 26.20 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING. THE ABOVE DESCRIBED TRACT OF LAND CONTAINS 24.081 ACRES, MORE OR LESS, AND IS SUBJECT TO ALL RIGHTS-OF-WAY, EASEMENTS, RESTRICTIONS, AND COVENANTS OF RECORD, IF ANY.
(AND IN ADDITION)
KANZA BUSINESS AND TECHNOLOGY PARK SUBDIVISION. CONTAINS APPROXIMATELY 10.39-ACRES, MORE OR LESS.

EXCEPT
The property commonly known as the 501 Sports Complex and legally described as follows: A tract of land in the Southeast Quarter of Section 26, Township 11 South, Range 15 East of the Sixth Principal Meridian, described as follows: Beginning at a point on the West line, 30.00-feet North of the Southwest Corner of said Quarter Section (said point being on the North right-of-way line of West Sixth Street); thence North 00 degrees, 06 minutes, 40 seconds West, along said West Line, 1,118.79-feet; thence South 86 degrees, 14 minutes, 23 seconds East, 130.46-feet; thence North 42 degrees, 56 minutes, 08 seconds East, 233.28-feet; thence North 01 degrees, 24 minutes, 28 seconds West, 497.95-feet; thence North 88 degrees, 48 minutes, 04 seconds East, 120.98-feet; thence on a 302.50-foot radius curve to the left, with a 170.62-foot chord bearing North 72 degrees, 25 minutes, 13 seconds East, an arc distance of 172.97-feet; thence North 56 degrees, 02 minutes, 21 seconds East, 399.91-feet; thence South 20 degrees, 26 minutes, 15 seconds East 750.52-feet; thence South 00 degrees, 24 minutes, 53 seconds East, 1, 344.97-feet to the North right-of-way line of West Sixth Street; thence South 89 degrees, 36 minutes, 04 seconds West, along said North right-of-way line, 1,162.82-feet to the Point of Beginning. The above contains 44.001-acres, more or less, all in the City of Topeka, Shawnee County, Kansas.
PART 2

APPRaised VALUATION OF REAL PROPERTY

The appraised valuation of the real estate contained in the Neighborhood Revitalization Area as of January 4, 2010 for each parcel by land and building values is on file in the Topeka Planning Department. The 2009 appraised valuation for the 14,675 parcels contained in the area is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land</td>
<td>$200,095,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvements</td>
<td>$1,104,145,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Appraised Valuation</td>
<td>$1,274,240,540</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PART 3

LISTING OF OWNERS OF RECORD IN AREA

Each owner of record of each parcel of land is listed together with the corresponding address on file in the Topeka Planning Department.

PART 4

EXISTING ZONING BOUNDARIES & EXISTING/PROPOSED LAND USES

Descriptions of zoning districts, current boundaries, existing land uses, and future land use maps within the Neighborhood Revitalization Area are all found on file in the Topeka Planning Department.
PART 5
MAJOR IMPROVEMENTS
PROPOSED FOR NEIGHBORHOOD REVITALIZATION AREA

A list of the proposed major improvements within the Neighborhood Revitalization Area are identified within the adopted neighborhood and area plans of the City’s Comprehensive Plan. Copies of those plans are on file with the Topeka Planning Department and on-line at www.topeka.org:

- Central Highland Park Neighborhood Plan (2010)
- Ward-Mead Neighborhood Plan (2001/2010)
- Central Park Neighborhood Plan (1998/2008)
- Hi-Crest Neighborhood Plan (2003)
- Old Town Neighborhood Plan (2003)
- East Topeka Neighborhood Revitalization Plan (2002)
- Downtown Topeka Redevelopment Plan (2001)
- Elmhurst Neighborhood Plan (2001)
- Tennessee Town (2001)
- Washburn-Lane Parkway Plan (2001)
- Historic North Topeka Revitalization Plan (1999)

Proposed housing, infrastructure, and public facility improvements within these plans are intended to guide the City’s future resource allocation as targeted within the Neighborhood Revitalization Area. Actual approved resource allocations are are found with the City’s Capital Improvement Budget and Consolidated Plan.
PART 6

STATEMENT SPECIFYING THE ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS
FOR A TAX REBATE

Residential New Construction/Rehabilitation

All residential improvements legally permitted by applicable zoning regulations and building codes within the Neighborhood Revitalization Area are eligible for the specified tax rebate provided the new appraised valuation is increased by a minimum of 10%. Improvement projects that are not eligible without City Council approval include:

- New construction renter-occupied single-family dwellings.
- Conversion of single-family dwellings to two (2) or more dwelling units.

Commercial New Construction/Rehabilitation

Commercial, office and institutional, and industrial projects legally permitted by applicable zoning regulations and building codes within the Neighborhood Revitalization Area are eligible for the specified tax rebate provided the new appraised valuation is increased by a minimum of 20%.
PART 7

CRITERIA FOR DETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY

(a) Construction of an improvement must have begun on or after January 1, 2013 the date of designation of the neighborhood revitalization area by the City under Ordinance No. 19362. Such improvement project shall remain eligible in the event of any re-adoption of the 2013 Neighborhood Revitalization Program by a subsequent ordinance. An improvement project constructed pursuant to a building permit and an application for tax rebate filed before January 1, 2013, may be eligible for a rebate under the Neighborhood Revitalization Program created by City Ordinance No. 18847 as amended by City Ordinance No. 19034 and Ordinance No. 19362.

(b) A rebate application must be filed prior to or within sixty (60) days of the issuance of a building permit or initiation of work (if no building permit is required) as determined by the Planning Director. An application determined to be “out-of-time” shall be accepted by the Planning Director if the applicant can demonstrate that prior to commencing the improvements, he or she intended to use the program’s benefits for the specific improvement proposed in the application. Some factors that may be used to determine the intent and prior knowledge of the program include previous written or verbal communication with city staff, contractors, or other interested parties in the project. The fact that the applicant was not made aware of the program by city staff shall not be used as a factor in this determination. An application shall not be accepted “out-of-time if the building permit was issued to correct a past zoning or building code violation. The applicant must submit all evidence in writing that supports the above criteria to the Planning Department within one (1) year of the issuance of the building permit. The applicant may appeal the Planning Director’s decision to the City Manager who has final authority over the matter.

(c) The improvements must conform with the Comprehensive Plan, design guidelines within applicable Neighborhood Plans, Downtown façade guidelines, and Zoning Regulations in effect at the time the improvements are made.

(d) New and existing improvements on property must conform with all other applicable codes, rules, and regulations in effect at the time the improvements are made, and for the length of the rebate or the rebate may be terminated.

(e) Any property that is delinquent in any real property tax payment or special assessment shall not be eligible for any rebate or future rebate until such time as all real property taxes and special assessments have been paid. Additionally, taxes on all real property owned by the applicant must be current.
(f) Commercial or industrial property eligible for tax incentives under any adopted Neighborhood Revitalization Plan and Topeka's existing tax abatement program pursuant to Article 11, Section 13 of the Kansas Constitution and Topeka Ordinance 17270, may receive one exemption/rebate per project from the City as a tax incentive.

(g) Any property that fronts a public street boundary of the Neighborhood Revitalization Area shall be eligible for the rebate, except those properties that front a public highway.

(h) Projects completed or eligible to be approved after two (2) years from the issuance of a building permit or initiation of eligible work items shall not be eligible for a tax rebate.
PART 8

CONTENTS OF APPLICATION FOR TAX REBATE

Part 1 - General Information

(a) Owner's Name
(b) Owner's Mailing Address.
(c) School District No.
(d) Parcel I.D. No.
(e) Building Permit No.
(f) Address of Property.
(g) Legal Description of Property
(h) Day Phone Number.
(i) Proposed Property Use.
(j) Improvements
(k) Estimated Cost of Improvements.
(l) Proof of Historical Register Listing.
(m) List of Buildings proposed to be or actually demolished.
(n) Date of commencement of construction.
(o) Estimated date of completion of construction.

Part 2 - Status of Construction/Completion

(a) County Appraiser's Statement of Percentage Test.
(b) County Clerk's Statement of Tax Status.
(c) Planning’s Statement of Application Conformance for Tax Rebate.
PART 9
APPLICATION PROCEDURE

(a) The owner/applicant shall obtain an Application for Tax Rebate from Topeka Planning Department (Planning) or the City's Development Services Office prior to or concurrent with obtaining a building permit application.

(b) The applicant shall complete and sign the application and file the original with Planning, prior to or within sixty (60) days of issuance of the building permit or as permitted under Part 7(b).

(c) Planning shall forward the application to the Shawnee County Appraiser's Office for determination of the appraised valuation of the improvements and when necessary, shall indicate the base tax year in order to determine the property’s pre-demolition value for historic resources or landmarks that were demolished to make way for the improvements.

(d) On or about January 1, the County Appraiser shall conduct an on-site inspection of the construction project, determine the new valuation of the real-estate, complete his portion of the application, and report the new valuation to the Shawnee County Clerk by June 1 of that same year. The tax records on the project shall be revised by the County Clerk's Office.

(e) Upon determination by the Appraiser's office that the improvements meet the percentage test for rebate and the Clerk's office has determined the status of the taxes on the property, Planning shall certify to the County Clerk the project and application does or does not meet the requirements for a tax rebate and shall notify the applicant.

(f) Upon the payment of the real estate tax for the subject property for the initial and each succeeding tax year period extending through the specified rebate period, and within a thirty (30) day period following the date of tax distribution by Shawnee County to the other taxing units, a tax rebate in the amount of the tax increment (less any fees as specified in the Interlocal Agreement) shall be made to the applicant.

The tax rebate amount will be based on the appraised property value increment between the application year and the completion year directly attributable to the improvement itself. The actual rebate may vary year to year depending upon the approved mill levy for all participating taxing jurisdictions. The tax rebate shall be made by Audit and Finance, Shawnee County through the Neighborhood Revitalization Fund established in conjunction with the City of Topeka and the other taxing units participating in an Interlocal Agreement.
PART 10

STANDARDS AND CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL

(a) Project improvements shall be 100% complete.

(b) The appraised value of residential property must be increased by a minimum of 10%.

(c) The appraised value of commercial and industrial property must be increased by a minimum of 20%.

(d) New improvements must conform with all applicable codes, rules, and regulations in effect at the time the improvements are made, including design guidelines of the Comprehensive Plan, for the length of the rebate.

(e) Any property that is delinquent in any real property tax payment or special assessment shall not be eligible for any rebate or future rebate until such time as all real property taxes and special assessments have been paid. Additionally, taxes on all real property owned by the applicant must be current.
PART 11

STATEMENT SPECIFYING REBATE FORMULA

Program Period:

The Neighborhood Revitalization Fund and tax rebate incentive program shall expire on December 31, 2017.

Rebate Period:

All Eligible Uses 10 years

Rebate Amount*:

All Eligible Uses Not Specified or In Areas Below 95% (years 1-5)
50% (years 6-10)

Intensive Care areas (2011 Neighborhood Element) 95%
National/State Register/Districts 95%
Local Historic Landmarks/Districts by the City of Topeka 95%

*5% to remain in Neighborhood Revitalization Fund for administrative costs.
Part 12

OTHER MATTERS

1. The governing body may declare a structure outside of a neighborhood revitalization area eligible if it satisfies the conditions set forth in subsection (a) of KSA 12-17, 115 as a “dilapidated structure” due to its deteriorated conditions and/or is worthy of preservation. As a matter of course, the governing body shall forward said declaration to the participating taxing entities for their approval as well.

2. The Special Fund created under Ordinance No. 18222 shall only be used to augment in-fill housing projects administered by the City of Topeka within the Neighborhood Revitalization Area. Eligible activities shall include any improvements associated with the infill-housing projects that are typically not eligible with federal funding sources such as detached garages, fencing, landscape plantings, drives, etc. or for any infrastructure necessary to complete the in-fill housing project. All improvements must be consistent with any adopted design guidelines of Neighborhood Plans approved by the governing body. The Special Fund shall not be used as substitute funding for eligible sources or programs. Adoption of this Plan shall have the effect of repealing all previous matters with administration of the Special Fund under Ordinance No. 18222.
SHAWNEE COUNTY TAX LEVY SCHEDULE 2011

Tax Levies per $1,000 Assessed Valuation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxing Jurisdiction (within USD 501 area)</th>
<th>Mill Levy</th>
<th>% of Total Levy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Shawnee County</td>
<td>43.165</td>
<td>28.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. City of Topeka</td>
<td>32.928</td>
<td>21.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. USD 501 (Topeka)</td>
<td>56.307</td>
<td>37.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Washburn University</td>
<td>3.298</td>
<td>2.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Topeka-Shawnee County Public Library</td>
<td>9.773</td>
<td>6.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. MTTA (Transit)</td>
<td>4.200</td>
<td>2.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. MTAA (Airport)</td>
<td>1.229</td>
<td>0.81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>150.90</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxing Jurisdiction (within USD 345 area)</th>
<th>Mill Levy</th>
<th>% of Total Levy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Shawnee County</td>
<td>43.165</td>
<td>29.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. City of Topeka</td>
<td>32.928</td>
<td>22.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. USD 345 (Seaman)</td>
<td>51.237</td>
<td>35.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Washburn University</td>
<td>3.298</td>
<td>2.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Topeka-Shawnee County Public Library</td>
<td>9.773</td>
<td>6.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. MTTA (Transit)</td>
<td>4.200</td>
<td>2.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. MTAA (Airport)</td>
<td>1.229</td>
<td>0.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>145.83</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: State of Kansas mill levy = 1.500

Source: Shawnee County Clerk’s Office
Legend

- Healthy
- Out Patient
- At Risk
- Intensive Care

Existing NRA Boundary

Proposed NRA Boundary

Square Miles

- Existing NRA Boundary: 13.6
- Proposed NRA Boundary: 11.4
# City of Topeka Neighborhood Revitalization Program (1996-2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Area</strong></td>
<td>25.3 square miles</td>
<td>12.8 sq. miles (contiguous)</td>
<td>12.8 sq. miles (contiguous)</td>
<td>11.3 sq. miles (contiguous) 0.4 sq. miles (island)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Islands”</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Kanza Business Park, Belmont Addition, Southern Hills B/C, Cowdin Sub, Drakes Farm</td>
<td>Kanza Business Park, Belmont Addition, Southern Hills A/B/C, Cowdin Sub, Drakes Farm</td>
<td>Kanza Business Park, Belmont Addition, Southern Hills A/B/C, Cowdin Sub, Drakes Farm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boundaries</td>
<td>Properties must be inside boundary to be eligible</td>
<td>Properties may be inside boundary OR front the boundary to be eligible</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebate Rate (assumes all taxing entities participate)</td>
<td>95% rebate /10 years 100% rebate /15 years (historic)</td>
<td>80% rebate /10 years 100% rebate /15 years (historic)</td>
<td>95% rebate /10 years 100% rebate /15 years (historic)</td>
<td>95% rebate /5 years 50% rebate/5 years 95% rebate /10 years (historic or Intensive Care)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use Eligibility</td>
<td>SF and commercial eligible in entire area, MF and industrial eligible in special districts only.</td>
<td>Any use permitted by zoning. New SF dwellings must be owner-occupied</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement Threshold</td>
<td>Residential &gt;5% appraised value Non-Resid. &gt;15% appraised value</td>
<td>Residential &gt;10% appraised value Non-Resid. &gt;20% appraised value</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application/Appeals Deadline</td>
<td>Up to 60 days after building permit. “Out-of-time” appeals go to CAO. Unlimited timeframe to appeal.</td>
<td>Up to 60 days after building permit. No “out-of-time“ appeals.</td>
<td>Up to 60 days after building permit. “Out-of-time” appeals within 1 year if evidence is presented to Planning of intent prior to building permit.</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Fund</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Special Fund created using 15% of rebate and 11th year (repealed) for infrastructure or housing in Area.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>May be used in conjunction with in-fill housing projects to comply with design guidelines (e.g., detached garages, trees)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>• Improvements must conform to all codes and Comp Plan for rebate period.</td>
<td>• Current on all property taxes • Conform to all codes and design guidelines of Comp Plan during rebate period. • 2 years to complete project</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Renew program every 5 years instead of 3 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

City of Topeka Planning Department 9/20/2012
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City of Topeka’s Neighborhood Revitalization Program (NRP)

2013–2017 Plan
Property tax rebates for improvements in specified area of city

All taxing entities participate through inter-local agreements

First in State to adopt local program 1995

Expires at end of 2012; City of Topeka and Shawnee County have approved 2013–17 Plan
NRP History

1995
- 95%/10 yrs. in 25 sq. miles
- 60 days to apply after building permit;
- Unlimited timeframe for “out-of-time” apps

2004
- Shrunk area to correspond with “At Risk” and “Intensive Care” neighborhoods
- 80%/10 yrs. in 13 sq. miles w/ Special Fund
- No “out-of-time” applications

2007
- Back to 95%/10 yrs. and no Special Fund
- “Out-of-time” apps have 1 year to show prior intent

Since 2004
NRP Private Investment

Since 2004

$280 million

Residential 32%
Commercial 68%

City of Topeka Planning Department 11/20/2012
All Taxing Entities Rebate $  
(1996–2012*)

$31 million

*2012 is projected
NRP Return on Investment

For Every Tax $ $ Rebated

= $9 Invested Privately
By Neighborhood Health

% of Investment by Health

Application Year (Jan 1 - Dec 31)

Healthy
Outpatient
At Risk
Intensive Care
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Survey of Applicants

**FIGURE 3:** Question #3: How important was the tax rebate in your decision to make improvements in your property?
Survey of Applicants

**FIGURE 4: Question #4: If the NRP was not available for your property, how would this have affected your investment?**

- **17.54%**: Same or similar
- **19.30%**: Moderately reduced
- **29.82%**: Significantly reduced
- **33.33%**: No investment
Survey of Applicants

**FIGURE 5:** Question #5: If the NRP is renewed, how likely would you use it in the next five years?

- **Not Likely** -5, -4, -3, -2, -1 (3%, 3%, 2%, 16%, 2% respectively)
- **Neutral** 0 (2%)
- **Likely** 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 (10%, 10%, 10%, 53% respectively)
Other Cities

- Most Kansas cities of size have NRP.
- Topeka is most generous @ 95%/10 years, but we have higher “increased value” thresholds (10% for residential and 20% commercial).
- Most other cities start at 95% but then gradually decrease % up to 10 years.
Other Cities

- Special projects with higher investments or longer rebate periods require governing body approval.

- Most make application deadline prior to or at time of building permit.
Neighborhood Revitalization Program
Changes for 2013–2017

- Adjusted area to match new Health Map. Add new “At Risk” and drop new “Out-Patient”.

- Reduce rebate from full 95%/10 years to 50% in years 6–10. Historic properties and Intensive Care areas remain at 95%/10 years.

- Use special fund to help with infill housing details not HUD-eligible (e.g. detached garages, fencing) to be consistent w/ neighborhood design guidelines.
ORDINANCE NO. 19771

AN ORDINANCE introduced by City Manager Jim Colson adopting a neighborhood revitalization plan and designating a revitalization area, all as provided for in K.S.A. 12-17, 114 et seq., Neighborhood Revitalization Act.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TOPEKA, KANSAS:

Section 1. Hearing.

The Council of the City of Topeka pursuant to Notice of Public Hearing as set forth in City of Topeka Resolution No. 8472 did hold a public hearing on October 23, 2012 to hear and consider public comment on the neighborhood revitalization plan as required by K.S.A. 12-17, 114 et seq.

Section 2. Neighborhood Revitalization Plan.

The Council of the City of Topeka does hereby adopt the neighborhood revitalization plan, attached hereto, labeled Exhibit A and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein as provided for by K.S.A. 12-17, 114 et seq.

Section 3. Designation of Neighborhood Revitalization Area.

Pursuant to K.S.A. 12-17, 114 et seq., the Council of the City of Topeka hereby designates the following described property as the neighborhood revitalization area and finds that said area is:

1. An area in which there is a predominance of buildings or improvements which by reason of dilapidation, deterioration, obsolescence, inadequate provision for ventilation, light, air, sanitation, or open spaces, high density of population and overcrowding, the existence of conditions which endanger life or property by fire and
other causes or a combination of such factors, is conducive to ill health, transmission of
disease, infant mortality, juvenile delinquency or crime and which is detrimental to the
public health, safety or welfare;

2. An area which by reason of the presence of a substantial number of
deteriorated or deteriorating structures, defective or inadequate streets, incompatible
land use relationships, faulty lot layout in relation to size, adequacy, accessibility or
usefulness, unsanitary or unsafe conditions, deterioration of site or other improvements,
diversity of ownership, tax or special assessment delinquency exceeding the actual
value of the land, defective or unusual conditions of title, or the existence of conditions
which endanger life or property by fire and other causes, or a combination of such
factors, substantially impairs or arrests the sound growth of a municipality, retards the
 provision of housing accommodations or constitutes an economic or social liability and
is detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare in its present condition and use; or

3. An area in which there is a predominance of buildings or improvements
which by reason of age, history, architecture or significance should be preserved or
restored to productive use.

The neighborhood revitalization area is described as follows:

**PRIMARY AREA**

Beginning at the intersection of the Centerline of US Highway 24 with the Centerline of
NW Clay Street; thence easterly, on the Centerline of US Highway 24, to an intersection
with the Centerline of NW Topeka Boulevard; thence southerly, on the Centerline of NW
Topeka Boulevard, to an intersection with the Centerline of Old Soldier Creek; thence
easterly and southerly, down the Centerline of Old Soldier Creek, to an intersection with
the Centerline of the Mainline Track of the Union Pacific Railroad; thence southerly, on
the centerline of said mainline track, to an intersection with the Centerline of the West
Approach to the Sardou Bridge over the Kansas River; thence east-southeasterly, on
the centerline of said approach and the Centerline of the Sardou Bridge, to an
intersection with the Centerline of the Kansas River; thence southwesterly, up the
Centerline of the Kansas River, to an intersection with an extension of the Centerline of
NE Chandler Street; thence southerly, on the Centerline of NE Chandler Street, to an
intersection with the Centerline of NE Seward Avenue; thence westerly, on the
Centerline of NE Seward Avenue, to an intersection with the Centerline of NE Branner
Street; thence south-southwesterly, on the Centerline of Branner Street, to an
intersection with the Centerline of the Mainline Track of the Burlington Northern – Santa
Fe Railway; thence easterly, on the centerline of said mainline track, to an intersection
with the East line of the Northeast Quarter of Section 33, Township 11 South, Range 16
east of the 6th P.M.; thence southerly, on said East line, to the Southeast corner of said
Northeast Quarter; thence easterly, on the North line of the Southwest Quarter of
Section 34, Township 11 South, Range 16 east of the 6th P.M., to an intersection with
the Centerline of Deer Creek; thence southwesterly and southerly, up the Centerline of
Deer Creek, to an intersection with the Centerline of SE 6th Avenue; thence westerly, on
the Centerline of SE 6th Avenue, to an intersection with the Centerline of SE Deer Creek
Parkway; thence southerly, on the Centerline of SE Deer Creek Parkway, to an
intersection with the Centerline of Interstate Highway 70; thence easterly, on the
Centerline of Interstate Highway 70, to an intersection with the East line of the
Southwest Quarter of Section 3, Township 12 South, Range 16 east of the 6th P.M.;
thence southerly, on said East line, to the Southeast corner of said Southwest Quarter;
thence easterly, on the North line of the Northeast Quarter of Section 10, Township 12
South, Range 16 east of the 6th P.M., to the Northeast corner of the West Half of said
Northeast Quarter; thence on an assumed bearing of South 00°48'00" West, on the
East line of the West Half of said Northeast Quarter, 158.83 feet, to the North line of the
Kansas Turnpike Right of Way, thence South 63°15'40" West, on said right of way line,
790.86 feet; thence South 69°36'00" West, continuing on said right of way line, 452.77
feet; thence North 70°46'40" West, continuing on said right of way line , 337.69 feet, to
the Easterly Right of Way line of Service Road “L” of the Kansas Turnpike Authority;
thence southwesterly, to the intersection of the Westerly Right of Way line of said
Service Road “L” with the Northerly Right of Way line of the Kansas Turnpike; thence
southwesterly, on the Northerly Right of Way line of the Kansas Turnpike, to an
intersection with the Centerline of SE 37th Street; thence westerly, on the Centerline of
37th Street, to an intersection with the Centerline of SW Topeka Boulevard; thence
northerly, on the Centerline of SW Topeka Boulevard, to an intersection with the
Centerline of SW 29th Street; thence easterly, on the Centerline of 29th Street, to an
intersection with the Centerline of SE Adams Street; thence northerly, on the Centerline
of SE Adams Street, to an intersection with the Centerline of Washburn Avenue; thence
northerly, and north-northeasterly, on the Centerline of Washburn Avenue, to an
intersection with the Centerline of SW Willow Avenue; thence easterly, on the Centerline of
SW Willow Avenue, to an intersection with the Centerline of Quinton Avenue; thence northerly, on the Centerline of Quinton Avenue, and its
extension, to an intersection with the Centerline of Interstate Highway 70; thence
southeasterly, on the Centerline of Interstate Highway 70, to an intersection with the
Centerline of SW Topeka Boulevard; thence north-northeasterly, on the Centerline of
Topeka Boulevard, to an intersection with the Centerline of NW Laurent Street; thence west-northwesterly, on the Centerline of NW Laurent Street, to an intersection with the Centerline of NW Norris Street; thence west-northwesterly, on the Centerline of NW Norris Street, to an intersection with the Centerline of NW Lane Street; thence northeasterly, on the Centerline of NW Lane Street, to an intersection with the Centerline of NW Gordon Street; thence east-southeasterly, on the Centerline of NW Gordon Street, to an intersection with the Centerline of NW Buchanan Street; thence northerly, on the Centerline of NW Buchanan Street, to an intersection with the Centerline of NW Grant Street; thence easterly, on the Centerline of NW Grant Street, to an intersection with the Centerline of NW Western Avenue; thence northerly, on the Centerline of NW Western Avenue, to an intersection with the Centerline of NW St. John Street; thence easterly, on the Centerline of NW St. John Street, to an intersection with the Centerline of NW Taylor Street; thence northerly, on the Centerline of NW Taylor Street, to an intersection with the Centerline of NW Lyman Road; thence westerly, on the Centerline of NW Lyman Road, to an intersection with the Centerline of NW Clay Street; thence northerly, on the Centerline of NW Clay Street, to the point of beginning. Contains 12.77 square miles.

(AND IN ADDITION)

DRAKES FARM SUBDIVISION AREA
Drakes Farm Subdivision. Contains approximately 21.01 acres.

KANZA BUSINESS AND TECHNOLOGY PARK (OVERALL PUD BOUNDARY)

A TRACT OF LAND IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER, THE EAST HALF OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER, LOT 3 OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER, AND THE NORTHEAST QUARTER, ALL IN SECTION 26, TOWNSHIP 11 SOUTH, RANGE 15 EAST OF THE SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SHAWNEE COUNTY, KANSAS, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS: COMMENCING AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE ON AN ASSUMED BEARING OF NORTH 00°00'22" EAST, 30.00 FEET, ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 23.50 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 2611.74 FEET TO A POINT ON THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER, 30.00 FEET NORTH OF THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE NORTH 00°06'23" WEST, 1118.90 FEET TO THE NORTH LINE OF ARlington Heights Subdivision; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 23.50 FEET TO THE CENTERLINE OF ARlington Heights Subdivision; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 2611.74 FEET TO A POINT ON THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER, 30.00 FEET NORTH OF THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE NORTH 00°06'23" WEST, 1118.90 FEET ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 497.95 FEET TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 497.95 FEET TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 1118.90 FEET TO THE CENTERLINE OF ARlington Heights Subdivision; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 23.50 FEET TO THE CENTERLINE OF ARlington Heights Subdivision; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 2611.74 FEET TO A POINT ON THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER, 30.00 FEET NORTH OF THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE NORTH 00°06'23" WEST, 1118.90 FEET ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 497.95 FEET TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 497.95 FEET TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 1118.90 FEET TO THE CENTERLINE OF ARlington Heights Subdivision; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 23.50 FEET TO THE CENTERLINE OF ARlington Heights Subdivision; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 2611.74 FEET TO A POINT ON THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER, 30.00 FEET NORTH OF THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE NORTH 00°06'23" WEST, 1118.90 FEET ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 497.95 FEET TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 497.95 FEET TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 1118.90 FEET TO THE CENTERLINE OF ARlington Heights Subdivision; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 23.50 FEET TO THE CENTERLINE OF ARlington Heights Subdivision; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 2611.74 FEET TO A POINT ON THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER, 30.00 FEET NORTH OF THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE NORTH 00°06'23" WEST, 1118.90 FEET ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 497.95 FEET TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 497.95 FEET TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 1118.90 FEET TO THE CENTERLINE OF ARlington Heights Subdivision; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 23.50 FEET TO THE CENTERLINE OF ARlington Heights Subdivision; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 2611.74 FEET TO A POINT ON THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER, 30.00 FEET NORTH OF THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE NORTH 00°06'23" WEST, 1118.90 FEET ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 89°36'04" WEST, 497.95
LINE OF THE EAST HALF OF SAID SOUTHWEST QUARTER; THENCE NORTH 00°11'27" EAST, 953.94 FEET ALONG THE WEST LINE OF THE EAST HALF OF SAID SOUTHWEST QUARTER; THENCE NORTH 00°18'11" EAST, 663.92 FEET ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 3 TO THE SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF INTERSTATE HIGHWAY NO. 70; THENCE NORTH 88°53'30" EAST, 883.63 FEET ALONG SAID RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE; THENCE ON A CURVE TO THE LEFT, ALONG SAID RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, A RADIUS OF 1579.22 FEET, AN ARC DISTANCE OF 683.95 FEET, WITH A CHORD WHICH BEARS NORTH 76°29'04" EAST, 678.62 FEET; THENCE NORTH 64°04'38" EAST, 1046.28 FEET, ALONG SAID RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE; THENCE SOUTH 00°08'08" WEST, 213.32 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 78°48'28" EAST, 1483.53 FEET TO THE EAST LINE OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SAID SECTION; THENCE SOUTH 00°08'53" EAST, 87.25 FEET ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID NORTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 16°33'51" WEST, 156.49 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 00°08'53" EAST, 539.57 FEET TO A POINT ON THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID NORTHEAST QUARTER, 45.00 FEET WEST OF THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID NORTHEAST QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 00°00'22" WEST, 35.43 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 00°00'22" EAST, 2570.01 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING. THE ABOVE DESCRIBED TRACT CONTAINS 258.943 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.

A TRACT OF LAND SITUATED IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 26, TOWNSHIP 11 SOUTH, RANGE 15 EAST OF THE SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, SHAWNEE COUNTY KANSAS, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: COMMENCING AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 26; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID QUARTER ON AN ASSUMED BEARING OF SOUTH 89°35'38" WEST, A DISTANCE OF 825.36 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF NORTH 00°24'22" WEST, A DISTANCE OF 40.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE PARALLEL WITH THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID QUARTER ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 89°35'38" WEST, A DISTANCE OF 515.96 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING NORTH 00°25'19" WEST, A DISTANCE OF 2033.61 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF NORTH 44°27'37" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 68.73 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 63°56'06" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 18.56 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF NORTH 19°56'13" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 44.30 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF NORTH 34°34'08" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 25.22 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF NORTH 72°13'53" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 27.65 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 37°05'32" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 14.75 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 54°25'19" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 16.30 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 80°46'21" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 23.15 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 87°13'11" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 11.70 FEET TO A POINT ON A CURVE TO THE RIGHT; THENCE ALONG SAID CURVE TO THE RIGHT (SAID CURVE HAVING A RADIUS OF 75.00 FEET, A CHORD BEARING OF SOUTH 74°03'00" EAST, AND A CHORD DISTANCE OF 34.18 FEET) A DISTANCE OF 34.48 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 60°52'49" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 42.96 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH...
192 53°28'55" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 108.90 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF
193 SOUTH 31°54'18" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 87.45 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF
194 SOUTH 26°44'08" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 158.72 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING
195 OF SOUTH 28°30'48" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 137.12 FEET; THENCE ON A
196 BEARING OF SOUTH 35°51'47" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 63.86 FEET; THENCE ON A
197 BEARING OF SOUTH 44°53'50" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 71.01 FEET; THENCE ON A
198 BEARING OF SOUTH 00°10'26" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 305.26 FEET; THENCE ON
199 A BEARING OF SOUTH 41°48'53" WEST, A DISTANCE OF 216.94 FEET TO A
200 POINT ON A CURVE TO THE LEFT; THENCE ALONG SAID CURVE TO THE LEFT
201 (SAID CURVE HAVING A RADIUS OF 75.00 FEET, A CHORD BEARING OF SOUTH
202 19°54'50" EAST, AND A CHORD DISTANCE OF 97.23 FEET) A DISTANCE OF
203 105.78 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 34°02'36" EAST, A DISTANCE
204 OF 118.96 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 00°17'22" WEST, A
205 DISTANCE OF 227.41 FEET TO A POINT ON A CURVE TO THE RIGHT; THENCE
206 ALONG SAID CURVE TO THE RIGHT (SAID CURVE HAVING A RADIUS OF 60.00
207 FEET, A CHORD BEARING OF SOUTH 45°46'21" WEST, AND A CHORD DISTANCE
208 OF 77.15 FEET) A DISTANCE OF 83.79 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH
209 00°06'58" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 142.97 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF
210 SOUTH 01°46'13" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 80.18 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING
211 OF SOUTH 89°57'22" WEST, A DISTANCE OF 20.82 FEET; THENCE ON A
212 BEARING OF SOUTH 00°15'55" WEST, A DISTANCE OF 130.86 FEET; THENCE ON
213 A BEARING OF SOUTH 89°40'17" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 21.15 FEET; THENCE ON
214 A BEARING OF SOUTH 00°20'17" WEST, A DISTANCE OF 28.30 FEET TO A POINT
215 ON A CURVE TO THE LEFT; THENCE ALONG SAID CURVE TO THE LEFT (SAID
216 CURVE HAVING A RADIUS OF 118.49 FEET, A CHORD BEARING OF SOUTH
217 21°22'45" EAST, A CHORD DISTANCE OF 60.15 FEET) A DISTANCE OF 60.82
218 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 32°29'33" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 24.43
219 FEET TO A POINT ON A CURVE TO THE RIGHT; THENCE ALONG SAID CURVE
220 TO THE RIGHT (SAID CURVE HAVING A RADIUS OF 194.67 FEET, A CHORD
221 BEARING OF SOUTH 12°51'45" EAST, AND A CHORD DISTANCE OF 80.56 FEET)
222 A DISTANCE OF 81.15 FEET; THENCE ON A BEARING OF SOUTH 01°52'31" EAST,
223 A DISTANCE OF 26.20 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING. THE ABOVE
224 DESCRIBED TRACT OF LAND CONTAINS 24.081 ACRES, MORE OR LESS, AND IS
225 SUBJECT TO ALL RIGHTS-OF-WAY, EASEMENTS, RESTRICTIONS, AND
226 COVENANTS OF RECORD, IF ANY.
227 (AND IN ADDITION)
228 KANZA BUSINESS AND TECHNOLOGY PARK SUBDIVISION. CONTAINS
229 APPROXIMATELY 10.39-ACRES, MORE OR LESS.
230 EXCEPT
231 The property commonly known as the 501 Sports Complex and legally described as
232 follows: A tract of land in the Southeast Quarter of Section 26, Township 11 South,
Range 15 East of the Sixth Principal Meridian, described as follows: Beginning at a point on the West line, 30.00-feet North of the Southwest Corner of said Quarter Section (said point being on the North right-of-way line of West Sixth Street); thence North 00 degrees, 06 minutes, 40 seconds West, along said West Line, 1,118.79-feet; thence South 86 degrees, 14 minutes, 23 seconds East, 130.46-feet; thence North 42 degrees, 56 minutes, 08 seconds East, 233.28-feet; thence North 01 degrees, 24 minutes, 28 seconds West, 497.95-feet; thence North 88 degrees, 48 minutes, 04 seconds East, 120.98-feet; thence on a 302.50-foot radius curve to the left, with a 170.62-foot chord bearing North 72 degrees, 25 minutes, 13 seconds East, an arc distance of 172.97-feet; thence North 56 degrees, 02 minutes, 21 seconds East, 399.91-feet; thence South 20 degrees, 26 minutes, 15 seconds East 750.52-feet; thence South 00 degrees, 24 minutes, 53 seconds East, 1, 344.97-feet to the North right-of-way line of West Sixth Street; thence South 89 degrees, 36 minutes, 04 seconds West, along said North right-of-way line, 1,162.82-feet to the Point of Beginning. The above contains 44.001-acres, more or less, all in the City of Topeka, Shawnee County, Kansas.

Section 4. This Ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage, approval and publication in the official City newspaper.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Council of the City of Topeka October 23, 2012.

CITY OF TOPEKA, KANSAS

___________________________
William W. Bunten, Mayor

ATTEST:

___________________________
Brenda Younger, City Clerk
CITY OF TOPEKA CONTRACT NO. __________
INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT

THIS INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT (hereinafter referred to as "Agreement") entered into this ____ day of ________________, 20____, by and among the City of Topeka, a duly organized municipal corporation hereinafter referred to as "City" and Unified School District 501, Unified School District 345, Unified School District 450, Metropolitan Topeka Airport Authority, the Board of County Commissioners of Shawnee County, Kansas, the Metropolitan Transit Authority, the Topeka and Shawnee County Public Library, and Washburn University.

WHEREAS, K.S.A. 12-2904 allows public agencies to enter into interlocal agreements to jointly perform certain functions including economic development; and

WHEREAS, all parties are pursuant to K.S.A. 12-2903 public agencies, capable of entering into interlocal agreements; and

WHEREAS, K.S.A. 12-17,114 et seq. and any amendments thereto (the “Act”), provides a program for neighborhood revitalization and further allows for the use of interlocal agreements between public agencies to further neighborhood revitalization; and

WHEREAS, it is the desire and intent of the parties hereto to provide the maximum economic development incentive as provided for in the Act, by acting jointly.

NOW, THEREFORE, IN CONSIDERATION OF THE MUTUAL COVENANTS CONTAINED HEREIN THE PARTIES AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

1. The parties agree to consider and adopt a neighborhood revitalization plan in accordance with the Act and in substantially the same form and content as Exhibit "A," attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein. The parties further agree that the neighborhood revitalization plan may be amended by
agreement of all of the parties as may be necessary to comply with applicable state law or regulation or promote additional economic development.

2. The parties further agree that the City shall administer the neighborhood revitalization plan as adopted by each party on behalf of the signatory parties. As this joint undertaking is a tax rebate program, there is no financing or budget component for this endeavor, except as provided in K.S.A. 12-17,118 and amendments thereto. Pursuant to K.S.A. 12-17,118, City shall create a neighborhood revitalization fund for the purpose of financing redevelopment and providing rebates.

The parties acknowledge and agree that five percent (5%) of the increment in ad valorem property taxes, as defined by the Act, shall be used to pay for administrative costs of the City and Shawnee County in implementing and administering the plan, as described in the neighborhood revitalization plan. The five percent (5%) administration fee shall be apportioned sixty-seven percent (67%) to Shawnee County and thirty-three percent (33%) to the City.

3. This neighborhood revitalization plan has a duration of five (5) calendar years, commencing January 1, 2013, and ending December 31, 2017. The parties agree that termination of this Agreement by any party prior to December 31, 2017 would adversely impact the plan and, consequently, no party may terminate this Agreement prior to the expiration date.

4. This Agreement shall be executed in several counterparts, all of which together shall constitute one original agreement.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have hereto executed this contract as of the
day and year first above written.

CITY OF TOPEKA, KANSAS

ATTEST:

Jim Colson, City Manager

Brenda Younger, City Clerk

UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT 501

ATTEST:

Patrick Woods, Board President

Cynthia Lutz Kelly, Attorney

UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT 345

ATTEST:

Dr. Mike Mathes, Superintendent

UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT 450

ATTEST:

Martin R. Stessman, Superintendent

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OF SHAWNEE COUNTY, KANSAS

ATTEST:

Michele A. Buhler, Chair

Cynthia A. Beck, County Clerk
Agenda Item No. V. B. 1.  
Washburn University Board of Regents

SUBJECT: Washburn Learning Management System

DESCRIPTION:
In May, 2009, shortly after Washburn moved from Blackboard WebCT to Angel as its Learning Management System (LMS), Blackboard acquired Angel. Blackboard initially announced it would discontinue Angel, but recently has stated it will continue to support the platform. However, Angel’s future is very unclear. A decision was made to evaluate the LMS market and in August 2012, an RFP was circulated for proposals to replace Angel as the Washburn LMS. Three products were selected for a more comprehensive evaluation with participation from faculty, staff and students; Blackboard Learn, Canvas and Desire2Learn.

The Bids Received:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Core LMS</th>
<th>Analytics Tool</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instructure Canvas</td>
<td>$69,332</td>
<td>N/A*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desire2learn</td>
<td>$117,500</td>
<td>$21,225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BlackBoard Learn</td>
<td>$156,365</td>
<td>$78,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- Desire2Learn has a $40,500 installation cost.
- (*) Canvas has a built-in analytics tool, but does not have required functionality.

While the least expensive option, Canvas is deficient in a number of critical areas.

The selection committee recommends the adoption of Desire2Learn as the Washburn LMS over Blackboard Learn based on the following reasons.

1. While Blackboard Learn integrates with more third party products, both have very similar capabilities.
2. Desire2Learn represents a significant cost savings over Blackboard Learn. In the first year the savings is about $33,000 and includes $5,000 of training. After the initial year, Desire2Learn provides a cost savings of $38,000/year.
3. Faculty at other institutions report success in using Desire2Learn.

The selection committee also recommends the purchase of Desire2Learn Analytics toolset for tracking and reporting learning outcome data. The Analytics package will be essential to assessing the effectiveness of educational programs under institutional learning outcome objectives and supporting accreditation and continuous improvement.
FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS (based on a 3-year agreement and two optional years):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annual Fees:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LMS Hosting and Storage:</td>
<td>$112,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMS Maintenance:</td>
<td>$5,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMS Total Annual Fees:</td>
<td>$117,500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analytics Toolset</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Fee:</td>
<td>$21,225.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implementation and 1st Year</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Annual Fees:</td>
<td>$138,725.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Year Discount (4 months):</td>
<td>(37,333.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation:</td>
<td>$40,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytics Installation:</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training:</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Year:</td>
<td>$156,892.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECOMMENDATION:

President Farley recommends the Board of Regents approve the selection of Desire2Learn as Washburn’s new Learning Management System in the amount of $156,892 for the first year with an on-going cost of $138,725/year (fixed 3% increase per year). This is a cost savings of approximately $5,000/year over the current LMS contract and includes the Analytics package.

Date ___________________________  Jerry B. Farley, President
Agenda Item No. V. B. 2.  
Washburn University Board of Regents

SUBJECT: Master of Accountancy (MAcc) Degree Program

DESCRIPTION:

The Master of Accountancy program is a professional degree program which will allow for Washburn students to (1) meet the education requirements set by the Kansas Board of Accountancy to sit for the CPA exam, and (2) complete a graduate degree. Qualified students interested in accounting will be able to seamlessly progress from the existing undergraduate program to the MAcc, and other professionals in our community will be able to return to school to complete their CPA requirements and/or earn the MAcc degree.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

An additional faculty position in accounting has been approved for the FY14 budget. Additional operating costs are estimated to be $5,000 per year which will be funded in the FY 2014 budget.

RECOMMENDATION:

President Farley recommends approval of the Master of Accountancy (MAcc) Degree Program.

_________________________________________  ____________________________
Date  Jerry B. Farley, President
SUBJECT: Post-Master’s Family Psychiatric/Mental Health Nurse Practitioner Certificate Program

DESCRIPTION:

The Post-Master’s Family Psychiatric/Mental Health Nurse Practitioner Certificate Program is a 20-24 credit post-Master’s certificate program to prepare Advanced Practice Psychiatric Mental Health Nurse Practitioners who are eligible for ANCC certification and have the knowledge and skills to meet the mental health needs of patients. Nurse Practitioners nationally certified as adult or family nurse practitioner and Master’s prepared Psychiatric Clinical Nurse Specialist are eligible to apply for this certificate program. There is a current need in 99 of the counties in the State of Kansas as well as the Topeka V.A. Hospital for additional mental health clinicians.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Additional courses will require an increase in the number of adjunct salary costs to assist with the undergraduate mental health clinical practicums. Additional revenue will fund additional costs in FY 2014.

RECOMMENDATION:

President Farley recommends approval of the Post-Master’s Family Psychiatric/Mental Health Nurse Practitioner Certificate Program.

___________________ _________________________
Date  Jerry B. Farley, President
SUBJECT: WU101, IS100, or HN101 as a Graduation Requirement

DESCRIPTION:

Request to modify catalog language regarding Graduation Requirement as follows. “Complete WU101: The Washburn Experience, IS100: The College Experience or HN101: Honors Washburn Experience (conditional upon admittance into the Honors Program) with a minimum grade of ‘C.’ Students transferring to Washburn University with 24 or more credit hours completed at an accredited post-secondary institution with a GPA of 2.0 or higher are exempt from the requirement to take WU101, IS100 or HN101.”

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

This was included in the annual budget starting FY 2013 and will be ongoing.

RECOMMENDATION:

President Farley recommends approval of the change to the catalog language regarding graduation requirement.

Date ________________________

Jerry B. Farley, President
SUBJECT: Removal of KN198 Lifetime Wellness as a University graduation requirement

DESCRIPTION:

The Kinesiology Department has developed a new three (3) hour course, KN 248, which will provide a more in depth and critical look at the issues surrounding one’s wellness. With the creation of this new course, the proposal is to remove KN 198 as a university requirement and offer the new course as general education credit in the social sciences distribution area. Removing KN198 as a requirement will reduce the tremendous amount of coordination necessary to have adjunct instructors scheduled, appropriate classroom and court/field space reserved, equipment available, and other instructional necessities for the considerable number of sections offered each semester.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Cost savings resulting from reduced number of adjunct faculty will be redistributed in the FY 2014 budget.

RECOMMENDATION:

President Farley recommends removal of KN198 Lifetime Wellness as a University graduation requirement

Date  Jerry B. Farley, President
Agenda Item No. V. B. 6.
Washburn University Board of Regents

SUBJECT: School of Applied Studies (SAS) associate degree offerings changed from Associate of Applied Science to Associate of Science or Associate of Arts degrees

DESCRIPTION:

For approximately two decades the School of Applied Studies has offered the Associate of Applied Science degree for select programs of study. Certain programs of study at Washburn Institute of Technology were previously completed through the SAS, resulting in an Associate of Applied Science degree. Now that the Washburn Board of Regents has granted curricular oversight of the Associate of Applied Science degree to Washburn Institute of Technology, the School of Applied Studies is eliminating the Associate of Applied Science degree but is retaining the option for Washburn Tech students who complete a KBOR-approved certificate to complete their general education coursework through Washburn University as either an Associate of Arts or Associate of Science degree. We believe that doing so will help attract capable students to the university and will provide a pathway to baccalaureate degrees offered on the Washburn University campus. In order to avoid confusion on the part of students, all previous associate of applied science degrees (those offered in conjunction with Washburn Tech and those offered exclusively through Washburn University) are being converted to either an associate of science or an associate of arts degree.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

This proposal requires no new courses or additional sections to existing courses. No additional staffing resources will be required since these courses are currently being offered. Financially, the proposal should help offset the impact of students completing the associate of applied science degree at Washburn Institute of Technology. This proposal should help to maintain a proportion of the current market share of students taking general education courses and required correlates at WU.

RECOMMENDATION:

President Farley recommends approval to change all current School of Applied Studies associate of applied science majors to receive an associate of arts or an associate of science degree.

__________________________    _______________________
Date  Jerry B. Farley, President
SUBJECT: Digital Marketing and Website Redesign Services

BACKGROUND:

Washburn University and Washburn Institute of Technology approved Strategic Plans in 2010. An overall marketing plan is needed to highlight the strategic initiatives of the Washburn Institute of Technology as we proceed toward the university’s sesquicentennial celebration in 2015.

The emphasis of this marketing endeavor will be to create a dynamic website that provides individuals, both internal and external, who visit our “digital campus” with needed information, services, and optimized networking opportunities. A web page implementation committee will be formed and we foresee no additional revenues needed for additional staffing at this time.

DESCRIPTION:

In the Washburn Institute of Technology Strategic Plan, the Board of Regents and stakeholders committed to “develop a Washburn Tech Marketing Plan to serve the communities we serve through strengthened external relationships” (III.A.1). The Request for Proposal outlined two priorities of (a) a digital marketing plan, and (b) website redesign and implementation with training provided to support the new website. Elements of the comprehensive upgrade will include improved navigation, search engine optimization, enhanced web analytics, and an ongoing maintenance plan. The project has an estimated nine month timeline, beginning in December 2012.

Washburn University selected BarkleyREI as the contracted firm for digital marketing and website redesign services for the university with positive results. Washburn University and Washburn Institute of Technology administration believe it is best practice to remain consistent in using BarkleyREI for the redesign of the Washburn Institute of Technology website.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Proposal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BarkleyREI</td>
<td>$184,520</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

An expenditure of $184,520, funded through the intrapreneurial fund provided by the Washburn University Foundation.
RECOMMENDATION:

President Farley recommends the Board of Regents approve award of a contract to BarkleyREI for digital marketing and website redesign services for Washburn University.

____________________________________  _______________________________________
Date                                  Jerry B. Farley, President
SUBJECT: Report of Purchases between $25,001 and $50,000

BACKGROUND:

At the March 8, 2001 Board of Regents Budget and Finance Committee meeting, the administration presented an item for discussion to increase the Board expenditure approval limit from $25,000 to $50,000. The increase was approved by the Board of Regents at its May 9, 2001 meeting.

DESCRIPTION:

In compliance with that approval and to ensure the Board fully complies with its fiduciary responsibilities, the Board of Regents requested all items approved by the administration between $25,001 and $50,000 be listed each month and included for information.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

These expenditures are in line with current year budgets.

______________________   ___________________________________
Date      Jerry B. Farley, President
## Report of Purchases between $25,001 and $50,000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simulator for School of Applied Studies</td>
<td>Gaumard Scientific Co. Miami, FL</td>
<td>$26,946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sole Source Purchase Grant Funding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classroom Remodeling for the Midwest Training Center for Climate and Energy Controls Technology Facilities Services Project – Purchased through Cooperative Purchasing Network from Trane who subcontracted with McElroy FY 2013 Capital Improvement Funding</td>
<td>McElroy Electric Topeka, KS</td>
<td>$36,409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation System for Washburn Tech campus Facilities Services Project Bids solicited &amp; awarded to lowest-price bid FY 2013 Capital Improvement Funding</td>
<td>Schendel Lawn &amp; Landscape Topeka, KS</td>
<td>$49,197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight Room Equipment for Athletics Department Sole Source Purchase Special Equipment Funding through Donations</td>
<td>Cybex International Medway, MA</td>
<td>$36,543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washburn University Foundation office renovations. Facilities Services Project Bids solicited &amp; awarded to lowest price bid FY 2013 Capital Improvement Funding</td>
<td>Senne &amp; Company Topeka, KS</td>
<td>$26,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSHA Online Courses for General Industry and Construction Industry Courses at Washburn Institute of Technology. Sole Source Purchase General Funding</td>
<td>American Safety Council Orlando, FL</td>
<td>$29,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Equipment for Washburn Institute of Technology Advance Systems Technology program. Additional equipment from previously awarded bid. TRAC-7 Funding</td>
<td>Crossland Machinery Co. Kansas City, MO</td>
<td>$29,087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Televisions, mounts, and installation for Athletics Department in Stadium, Petro Suites, &amp; Athletic Offices. Bids solicited &amp; awarded to lowest price bid FY 2013 Technology Equipment and Agency Funding</td>
<td>Best Buy Topeka, KS</td>
<td>$28,303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Contractor</td>
<td>Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remodel Garvey Room 235</td>
<td>Mohan Construction</td>
<td>Topeka, KS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities Services Project C13015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bids solicited and awarded to lowest-price bid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013 Capitol Improvement Funding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welding Equipment</td>
<td>Matheson Tri-Gas Inc.</td>
<td>Topeka, KS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washburn Tech</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bids solicited and awarded to lowest-price bid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Funding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail Fixtures and Installation</td>
<td>AWI Fixtures &amp; Interiors</td>
<td>Shippensburg, PA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ichabod Shop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bids solicited and awarded to lowest-price bid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architectural Services</td>
<td>Tevis Architectural Group</td>
<td>Topeka, KS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities Services Project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program and Schematics for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson Hall 1st Floor Remodel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tevis was original architect for building</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities Services Fund 900080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>